



Carbon  
Management  
Europe

REPORT

# Recommendations for research to support the deployment of CCS in Europe beyond 2020

MARCH 2010



## KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

The European Union (EU) is now leading the world in the implementation of an ambitious demonstration programme for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Storage (CCS) as a critical technology for combating climate change. The goal: to ensure CCS is commercially viable by 2020. But while individual components of the CCS value chain are already proven – ready for scale-up and integration – further R&D into next-generation technologies must also be initiated *immediately* to enable rapid and wide deployment post-2020.

To this end, experts within the European Technology Platform for Zero Emission Fossil Fuel Power Plants (ZEP) have identified key areas for improvement, together with the main strands for R&D to 2030 and beyond. To ensure maximum effectiveness, this should be coordinated at national and EU level and include key learnings from the EU demonstration programme. Technologies still at an early stage should also be included since sudden technology breakthroughs cannot be foreseen, but are the outcome of dedicated R&D.

- *Second-generation CCS technologies (2020-2030)*: technologies brought to commercialisation within this period are likely to be based on improvements and refinements of first-generation technologies employed pre-2020. Some new technologies, currently in the R&D phase, should reach the demonstration or even commercial phase.
- *Third-generation CCS technologies (post-2030)*: technologies brought to commercialisation within this period are likely to be based on optimised and refined first- and second-generation technologies. In particular, demonstration phase, second-generation technologies should become commercialised. New technologies, which today could be in R&D infancy, should reach the demonstration phase and then become commercially available.

### CO<sub>2</sub> capture

R&D activities for CO<sub>2</sub> capture should focus on improving and developing new and competitive capture technologies in order to reduce cost and energy consumption, including:

- Undertaking further R&D on the current portfolio of capture technologies – post-combustion, pre-combustion and oxy-fuel – and identify improvements in those closest to commercial maturity. Investigating novel technologies and the novel use of known technologies
- For all technologies, identifying additional areas of improvement in reliability, availability, maintainability and flexibility (e.g. in terms of fuel or operation).

#### Key topics

New CO<sub>2</sub> sorption media and processes for post-combustion • Integrated processes for pre-combustion and oxy-fuel • Plant integration for all three capture technologies • Oxygen production for pre-combustion and oxy-fuel • Improving combustion, flue gas treatment and CO<sub>2</sub> cleaning for oxy-fuel • Improving and up-scaling gasifiers, hydrogen-gas turbines, carbon monoxide-shift and CO<sub>2</sub> capture for pre-combustion • CO<sub>2</sub> compression

### CO<sub>2</sub> transportation and storage

R&D activities for CO<sub>2</sub> transportation and storage should focus on enhancing technologies and methodologies expected to facilitate wide-scale deployment, including:

- Developing a complete transportation infrastructure, including industrial sources of CO<sub>2</sub>
- Improving methodologies for assessing storage options and their capacities
- Optimising storage capacity and efficiency.

#### Key topics

Deep saline aquifer storage • CO<sub>2</sub>-related well technologies • CO<sub>2</sub> storage reservoir capacity assessment • Monitoring and modelling the storage reservoir, and geology surrounding it, all the way up to the surface • Management of the CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex • Mitigation and remediation • Assessment of environmental impacts • Land planning and infrastructure

## Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
1.1	Background and purpose of this document .....	4
1.2	Other related activities.....	6
1.3	Applications to other industries.....	6
2	CO <sub>2</sub> Capture.....	7
2.1	Time and technology structure .....	7
2.1.1	Timeframe definition .....	7
2.1.2	Capture routes and technology blocks.....	7
2.1.3	Validation status definition .....	9
2.2	Post-Combustion technologies.....	9
2.2.1	CO <sub>2</sub> capture in Post-Combustion applications.....	9
2.2.2	CO <sub>2</sub> enrichment in flue gas from gas turbines.....	10
2.2.3	Overall process development and integration .....	11
2.3	Oxyfuel technologies .....	12
2.3.1	Oxygen production for oxyfuel applications .....	12
2.3.2	Oxy-combustion .....	12
2.3.3	Oxyfuel gas turbine.....	14
2.3.4	Flue gas recycling and O <sub>2</sub> mixing .....	15
2.3.5	Flue gas treatment and cooling .....	15
2.3.6	CO <sub>2</sub> purification and compression .....	16
2.3.7	Integrated components (including CLC).....	17
2.3.8	Overall process development and integration .....	18
2.4	Pre-Combustion technologies.....	18
2.4.1	Oxygen production for pre-combustion applications.....	18
2.4.2	Gasification / Reforming .....	19
2.4.3	CO shift.....	20
2.4.4	CO <sub>2</sub> capture in pre-combustion applications.....	21
2.4.5	H <sub>2</sub> gas turbine.....	22
2.4.6	Integrated components.....	22
2.4.7	Overall process development and integration .....	24
2.5	Technologies and research areas enabling improved CO <sub>2</sub> capture performance .....	25
2.5.1	Advanced steam cycle technology .....	25
2.5.2	Other technology development.....	26
2.5.3	System studies .....	27
3	CO <sub>2</sub> transport and storage .....	28
3.1	Technology structure .....	28
3.2	CO <sub>2</sub> -related well technologies.....	29
3.3	CO <sub>2</sub> storage reservoir capacity assessment.....	30
3.4	Modelling the storage reservoir and geosphere.....	31
3.5	Monitoring the storage reservoir and geosphere.....	32
3.6	Management of the CO <sub>2</sub> storage complex.....	33
3.7	Assessment of environmental impacts.....	34
3.8	Mitigation and remediation.....	36
3.9	Land planning and transport infrastructure .....	37
4	Other technologies for CO <sub>2</sub> emission avoidance.....	38
4.1	Ex-situ mineral carbonation .....	38
4.2	Chemical utilization of CO <sub>2</sub> .....	38
4.3	Biofixation of CO <sub>2</sub> .....	39
4.4	Combining CCS with biomass .....	39
5	Overall summary and recommendations .....	40

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background and purpose of this document

In October 2006, reports were published by ZEP Working Groups on Power Plant and CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Technology and CO<sub>2</sub> Use and Storage respectively. The goal: to identify first-generation CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies and R&D needed to validate and demonstrate CO<sub>2</sub> storage in order to commercialise power production with CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Storage (CCS) by 2020. Capture technologies likely to reach maturity beyond this date were also briefly mentioned.

These reports provided input into the ZEP Strategic Research Agenda (SRA), in which the following key recommendations were made:

1. Urgently implement 10-12 integrated, large-scale CCS demonstration projects Europe-wide
2. Develop new concepts already identified, but not validated, for demonstration by 2010-2015 and implementation beyond 2020
3. Support long-term R&D into advanced, innovative concepts for implementation of next-generation technology
4. Maximise cooperation at national, European and international level
5. Strengthen and accelerate R&D priorities to support the Strategic Deployment Document (SDD), informed by experience from demonstration projects and parallel R&D projects on advanced, innovative concepts.

In April 2007, using the SRA and the underlying Working Group reports as a starting point, ZEP Taskforce Technology then published a report elaborating on their recommendations for RTD, support actions and priorities in the FP7 Energy Work programme and National RTD Programmes. This report was further developed and updated in April 2008.

#### CO<sub>2</sub> capture

In parallel with the commercial introduction of first-generation CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies – supported by point 1 above – one obvious way forward is to search for improvements in these technologies, primarily in terms of efficiency and cost. Other areas of improvements may include reliability, availability, maintainability and flexibility (e.g. in terms of fuel or operation).

However, such incremental improvements are not likely to be sufficient to meet the requirements of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction in an energy- and cost-efficient way. The vision of ZEP is to ensure that CCS is deployed to its fullest potential and reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the EU by over 50 percent. Thus technologies developed under points 2 and 3 must contribute to the future portfolio of CCS and R&D in these areas be further specified.

#### CO<sub>2</sub> storage

Knowledge and experience gained through industrial and academic research at laboratory and field scale at CO<sub>2</sub> storage test sites and industrial pilots worldwide has shown that it is both viable and secure, making CCS a key carbon mitigation technology.

Currently available technologies and methodologies already cover critical elements in the design and management of the CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage value chain. The focus of current and near-term RTD is therefore validation of the technology and confirmation of our understanding of subsurface processes through a combination of monitoring and modelling methodologies.

### **From demonstration to deployment**

But wide deployment of CCS in Europe will require the implementation of hundreds of commercial projects, at scales often several orders of magnitude larger than existing pilot projects. It is therefore essential to develop methodologies that will ensure the efficient and safe management of large CO<sub>2</sub> storage sites in wider regions – on- and offshore.

Developing mitigation and remediation plans, should CO<sub>2</sub> migrate outside the originally perceived storage site boundaries, will also be more relevant and important for larger volumes of CO<sub>2</sub> stored. While monitoring activities have so far aimed at verifying the fate of CO<sub>2</sub> stored, the objective of future monitoring schemes will also be to focus on the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> storage on reservoir behaviour at regional scale, as well as potential environmental impacts. Understanding these phenomena will be a prerequisite for the management of large-scale CO<sub>2</sub> storage facilities.

The purpose of this document is therefore to identify RTD urgently required in order to enable the rapid and wide deployment of CCS post-2020:

- Highlight areas for improvement and related R&D needs, plus recommended main strands for further research within **CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies** with a **long-term perspective**
- Provide a structured presentation and brief discussion of the **technologies / methodologies expected to facilitate CO<sub>2</sub> storage deployment from the demonstration phase to rapid and wide deployment**.

This report presents a compilation of identified long-term perspective R&D needs for CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies known today. However, novel technologies – or the novel use of known technologies – are not unlikely to be presented in the years to come. It is therefore vital that future research programmes are formulated in such a way as to include these novel technologies so they are given a fair evaluation. Novel technologies must not only offer the advantage of being advanced and innovative, but have the potential for improvements compared to first-generation capture technologies, primarily in terms of breakthrough in cost and efficiency

Storage is an essential component of the CCS chain and provides critical considerations in the CCS decision-making process and planning. The experience and knowledge generated through existing and planned demonstration projects until 2020 will serve to inform decisions and reflect upon the technologies and methodologies proposed.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, it is essential that **R&D in identified areas is initiated and/or continued immediately**, and in the years to come, in order to reach estimated maturity at the defined time-scales. Considering the time steps for development (laboratory – pilot projects – semi-industrial – industrial – pre-commercial), continuity from the EU in supporting developing technologies is vital.

In this context, it is important to recognise the need for medium-sized pilot projects – which are risky and costly – and which are a limiting factor for new developments. For technologies still at an early stage, correct technology potential assessment may be difficult, because ‘showstoppers’ and sudden technology breakthroughs cannot be foreseen, but are the outcome of dedicated R&D.

## 1.2 Other related activities

CCS is a fast-growing industry and there is a good deal of work ongoing on mapping knowledge gaps and developing roadmaps for CCS R&D and deployment – including the work of ZEP.

For example, the Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum (CSLF) delivered its technology roadmap in 2009 ([www.csforum.org](http://www.csforum.org)). The International Energy Agency (IEA) has also followed up on the G8<sup>1</sup> call to have 20 operational projects by 2020 with a global CCS technology roadmap which concludes that 100 commercial-scale CCS projects must be operational by 2020 in order to keep the increase in global temperature below 2°C – as agreed in the Copenhagen Accord ([www.iea.org/Textbase/subjectqueries/cdcs.asp](http://www.iea.org/Textbase/subjectqueries/cdcs.asp)).

As CCS cannot be effectively deployed at small scale or in small units, the key bottleneck to date has been to find a reasonable cost and risk sharing between industrial partners and governments – projects could easily cost €1-2 billion. We recognise that state, national and international governments are increasingly sponsoring demonstration and early commercial projects and CCS R&D activities.

This support is being deployed through a range of competitive selection processes that aim to build national capability and establish deployment expertise. The programme outlined in this document would therefore be enhanced by establishing synergies with other national and international programmes through the EU / ZEP Knowledge Sharing Framework.

## 1.3 Applications to other industries

This report does not aim to cover the R&D needs of CCS deployment for industries other than the power sector. However, in order to identify and explore synergies with other industries, such as oil and gas, steel, metallurgical, cement, petrochemicals etc., a joint task force will be formed and develop a separate document. This issue is also addressed in the IEA roadmap.

### **The Zero Emissions Platform (ZEP)**

The *European Technology Platform for Zero Emission Fossil Fuel Power Plants (ZEP)* – otherwise known as the Zero Emissions Platform – is a broad coalition of stakeholders united in their support for CCS and its leading authority in Europe. Members include European utilities, petroleum companies, equipment suppliers, national geological surveys, academic institutions and environmental NGOs.

This report has been developed by over 70 CCS experts from two ZEP Working Groups within ZEP Taskforce Technology: Long-term R&D Plan for Capture Technology and Long-Term R&D Plan for Storage and Transport Technology.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Group of Eight industrial powers – the United States, Canada, Britain, France, Italy, Germany, Russia and Japan

## 2 CO<sub>2</sub> Capture

### 2.1 Time and technology structure

#### 2.1.1 Timeframe definition

The timeframe for long term R&D has been categorised within the following intervals, corresponding to the periods where the R&D efforts performed now and in the years to come will result in commercially available technologies:

- *Period I, up to 2020:* Medium term, not subject for this document but provided for establishing a baseline and likely development.
- *Period II, 2020-2030:* Technologies brought to commercial operation within this period are likely to be based on improvements and refinements of technologies employed in Period I. Some new technologies, today in the R&D phase, should reach the demonstration or even the commercial phase.
- *Period III, 2030 and beyond:* Long-term technologies. Technologies brought to commercial operation within this period are likely to be based on optimised and refined technologies from periods I and II. In particular, demonstration phase technologies from Phase II should become commercial. New technologies, which today could be in R&D infancy, should reach the demonstration phase and then become commercially available.

#### 2.1.2 Capture routes and technology blocks

Table 1 below gives an overview of the “technology blocks” within each of the three main capture routes. This is in accordance with the ZEP document “ZEP Matrix of Technologies” from October 2008, although the structure has been slightly rearranged.

Technology blocks that are specific to one of the three CO<sub>2</sub> capture routes are in **bold letters** and the long-term perspective R&D needs for these blocks will be further described in chapters 2.2 to 2.4.

Technology blocks that are not directly linked to only one CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology, such as “CO<sub>2</sub> compression” are not in bold letters in Table 1 and are dealt with in chapter 2.5. This is also the case for technology blocks that are not CO<sub>2</sub> capture specific but more generally related to power plant improvements (e.g. 700°C steam cycle).

**Table 1: Technology blocks (according to ZEP document: CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Storage (CCS) – Matrix of Technologies, October 15<sup>th</sup> 2008)**

Post-Combustion		Oxy-fuel		Pre-combustion
		<b>Oxygen separation</b>		<b>Oxygen separation</b>
Fuel preparation Lignite drying		Fuel preparation Lignite drying		Fuel handling Lignite drying
Combustion (NG GT, Coal PC, Lignite PC, CFB, Biomass)		<b>Oxy-combustion (Oxy-PC / CFB, Oxy-gas, Biomass)</b>		<b>Gasification / Reforming (NG, Coal, Lignite, Biomass)</b>
Boiler	Gas turbine	Boiler	Gas turbine	Dust removal
Steam cycle		Steam cycle		<b>CO shift</b>
700°C cycle.		700°C cycle.		
	<b>CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in flue gas</b>	<b>Flue gas recycling and O<sub>2</sub> mixing</b>		Desulphurization
Flue gas treatment and heat recovery		<b>Flue gas treatment and cooling</b>		<b>CO<sub>2</sub> capture / H<sub>2</sub> separation</b>
<b>CO<sub>2</sub> capture</b>				<b>H<sub>2</sub> Gas turbine</b>
CO <sub>2</sub> purification *		<b>CO<sub>2</sub> purification</b>		CO <sub>2</sub> purification *
CO <sub>2</sub> compression		CO <sub>2</sub> compression		CO <sub>2</sub> compression
		<b>Integrated components **</b>		<b>Integrated components **</b>
<b>Overall process development and integration</b>		<b>Overall process development and integration</b>		<b>Overall process development and integration</b>

\* For processes where the CO<sub>2</sub> stream formed contains co-adsorbates and/or other impurities that can not be sequestered with the CO<sub>2</sub>, further processing will be necessary. Specific separation steps taking care of this might therefore give rise to R&D activities where the aim is to modify existing processes or develop new specific separation processes.

\*\* Two or more components / sub-processes integrated into one unit. Examples are CLC in the oxy-fuel route combining oxygen separation and combustion, and sorption enhanced reforming / gasification in the pre-combustion route combining gasification / reforming, water gas shift and CO<sub>2</sub> removal

### 2.1.3 Validation status definition

In the coming chapters summary tables are provided where colour coding has been used to define the validation status for the different technologies under each technology block. As in the ZEP document “ZEP Matrix of technologies”, validation status is divided into three levels:

- Red            Not validated: Not tested / Less advanced than pilot scale
- Yellow        Partly validated: Ready for demo plant  
(a few 100s of MW<sub>el</sub>, depending on technology)
- Green         Fully validated: Commercially available for application in large power plants.

In addition, shadings are employed between red / yellow and yellow / green where this is the most appropriate.

## 2.2 Post-Combustion technologies

### 2.2.1 CO<sub>2</sub> capture in Post-Combustion applications

Post-combustion capture technologies can in principle be applied to flue gases from all kinds of industrial processes, in particular power production from fossil fuels and biomass, cement, steel and aluminium production. Several separation principles are relevant. Absorption based on liquid chemical solvents (amines) is currently the leading and most developed technology. Further along the timeline other technologies such as adsorption by solid sorbents and high temperature carbonate looping cycles, membrane separation, cryogenic separation and use of biotechnology are seen as potential candidates.

#### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- The high energy requirement of the separation process; a penalty of about 10 percent point in efficiency loss with present technology (MEA). A long term R&D target (beyond 2030) should be to reduce this to below 5 percent point.
- The low CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure (especially for NG power plants) and the large flue gas volumes imply very large equipment volumes and contacting surfaces.  
Long term R&D target: Reduce equipment volumes by developing more effective contacting surfaces
- Flue gas impurities (depending on fuel).  
Long term R&D target: Develop capture processes independent from or at least very robust with respect to composition of impurities in the flue gas. Develop capture processes which can efficiently co-capture impurities of larger concentration (like SO<sub>2</sub>)
- Degradation and environmental aspects.  
Long term R&D target: Develop processes with very low overall emission levels (including e.g. degradation products) develop in line measurement techniques for very low concentrations.
- Material of construction  
Long term R&D target: Develop lower cost materials of construction for capture plants.

#### R&D needs

- Liquid absorbents: Liquid solvents need to have a lower energy requirement for regeneration than today, be non-toxic and environmentally friendly. They should also be robust against flue gas impurities and have a low degradation rate. In order to decrease CAPEX and OPEX, technological development is also necessary on gas / liquid contactor (including also membrane contactors). The search for this type of solvents is ongoing and progressing, but is likely to continue beyond 2020. Systems using additional effects like precipitation, pH swing, and liquid extraction are probable to be an important part of the progress. Adaptation of capture and power process configurations are necessary to make best use of these improved solvents.

- Solid sorbents: Sorption on solids (both low and high temperature sorbents should be considered) is an innovative way to reduce energy costs. New solids must be developed for Vacuum Swing Adsorption (VSA) and Temperature Swing Adsorption (TSA) processes with higher effectiveness and lower cost. Processes must be developed to match these solids.
  - Vacuum swing adsorption processes (VSA / VPSA): Such processes are run in a similar manner as pressure swing adsorption (PSA) processes, but at much lower pressures and vacuum is needed to remove the CO<sub>2</sub> in the desorption step. The challenge is to find good adsorbents with high cyclic capacity in the actual pressure range. Another challenge is to find adsorbents with high selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> in order to avoid accumulation of impurities that necessitate extra thermal regeneration of the adsorbent.
  - Low temperature thermal swing adsorption processes: The biggest challenge when developing thermal swing adsorption processes for CO<sub>2</sub> capture from flue gas is the low partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> present that necessitate novel reactor design having low pressure drop over the adsorbent bed. To assure efficient heat transfer over the bed is also an issue.
  - High temperature thermal swing carbonate looping processes using for example CaO particles to react with CO<sub>2</sub> are promising. The subsequent calcination of CaCO<sub>3</sub> regenerates the CaO and returns high purity CO<sub>2</sub>. R&D issues are: Scaling up of CFB carbonator and experimental validation at increasing scales, alternative calciner designs, and sorbent performance issues such as chemical and mechanical stability, integration of purge uses, combined SO<sub>2</sub> capture, steam reactivation etc.
- Membranes: The application of membranes in fossil fuel power plants requires large membranes that can be maintained and repaired. Moreover, it has to be considered that they have to withstand pollution, fouling as well as temperature and pressure changes. Properties that can not be delivered by today's membrane technology. R&D needs: Development of cheaper and more robust membrane modules with high permeability and selectivity.
- Cryogenic technologies: Cryogenic liquefaction is feasible today, anti-sublimation process for CO<sub>2</sub> separation is in the early demonstration phase.
- Generating hydrates for CO<sub>2</sub> capture: R&D is needed to increase selectivity and kinetics.
- Materials of construction: R&D for lower cost solutions.

**Summary table:** Post combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Liquid solvents, energy requirement < 3 GJ/ton CO <sub>2</sub>	Green	Green	Green
Liquid solvents, energy requirement < 2 GJ/ton CO <sub>2</sub>	Yellow	Green	Green
Liquid solvents, energy requirement < 1.5 GJ/ton CO <sub>2</sub>	Red	Yellow	Green
Minimisation of solvent degradation / avoidance of emissions	Yellow	Yellow	Green
VSA / VPSA adsorbents	Red	Yellow	Green
Low temperature thermal swing adsorbents	Red	Yellow	Green
High temperature thermal swing adsorbents	Red	Yellow	Green
Capture processes for liquid and solid sorbents*	--	--	--
Membranes development (higher flux and selectivity) and stability	Yellow	Green	Green
Cryogenics: anti-sublimation	Yellow	Green	Green
Hydrates	Red	Yellow	Green

\*Maturity will depend on the solvent or sorbent under consideration

**2.2.2 CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in flue gas from gas turbines**

The basic idea with this concept is to recirculate part of the flue gas from the gas turbine back to the compressor inlet, and thereby increase the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the flue gas, which is beneficial to the post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture process. Also, concepts with oxygen-enriched air can be envisaged in order to produce flue gases with a further increase in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Increase CO<sub>2</sub> content in the flue gas in order to facilitate CO<sub>2</sub> capture
- Stable and complete combustion in CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere
- Stable and complete combustion in oxygen and CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere

### R&D needs

- Process configuration optimisation with recirculation of (part of) the flue gas prior to the CO<sub>2</sub> capture unit
- Adaptation of gas turbines to operation with new CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched media, in particular to ensure stable and complete combustion
- Adaptation of gas turbines to operation with new oxygen and CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched media, in particular to ensure stable and complete combustion

### Summary table: CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in flue gas

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Process configuration optimisation	Yellow	Green	Green
Gas turbine development for CO <sub>2</sub> enrichment	Red	Yellow	Green
Gas turbine development for oxygen and CO <sub>2</sub> enrichment	Red	Yellow	Green

### 2.2.3 Overall process development and integration

Integration of the post-combustion capture process with the power generation process and the CO<sub>2</sub> compression is a key point to reduce energy penalty for post combustion capture. Thus, this topic needs further attention. Furthermore, overall environmentally friendly integration of the power plant with respect to water consumption and pollutants is vital.

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Development of gas and solid fuel power processes with integrated post combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture process, with maximised power output and minimum loss of waste heat, taking also into account good part-load performance.

### R&D needs

- Minimization of overall energy penalty for flue gas cleaning and CO<sub>2</sub> compression and intercooling
- Minimization of overall energy penalty for the steam cycle configuration with respect to CO<sub>2</sub> capture and compression and good part-load performance
- Environmental integration of the power plant with respect to e.g. cooling water requirements, liquid effluents and their purifications
- Development and implementation of dynamic models to study transients in the power process as well as in the capture unit

### Summary table: Overall process development and integration.

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Energy efficient flue gas cleaning and CO <sub>2</sub> compression	Yellow	Green	Green
Optimisation of steam power cycle to match capture process*	--	--	--
Environmental integration of power plant*	--	--	--
Dynamic modelling, simulations and analysis*	--	--	--

\*Maturity depends on the capture process under consideration

## 2.3 Oxyfuel technologies

### 2.3.1 Oxygen production for oxyfuel applications

For the 1<sup>st</sup> large scale demonstrations (100s of MW<sub>th</sub>) of oxy-fuel power plants, and the first commercial generations, cryogenic air separation will be the only viable air separation technology due to the large scale. In longer time perspectives, other air separation technologies based on membranes or adsorbents are seen as potential candidates.

#### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Reduced energy consumption for oxygen production. Specific energy consumption of today cryogenic processes is in the range 160 - 220 kWh/ton at ISO conditions<sup>2</sup>. A long term R&D target should be to reduce this to the range 120 - 140 kWh/ton for improved cryogenic processes and down to the range 90 - 120 for membrane or sorbent based technologies.
- Standardisation to reduce investment costs for cryogenic ASUs
- Adaption and optimisation of cryogenic air separation for the specific oxy-fuel boiler requirements.

#### R&D needs

- Advanced cryogenic distillation technology, integration with other parts of the power plant or other adjacent “cold industries” (e.g. LNG regasification)
- High-temperature oxygen separating membranes and adsorbents, which may have a potential for efficiency improvement in oxyfuel operation compared to cryogenic ASU.
  - Further materials development (flux, selectivity, improved performance at lower temperatures (below 700°C), industrial fabrication methods)
  - Materials stability at sour conditions
  - Further component development (membrane scale-up and manufacturing and adsorbent reactor design) and integration in power process.
  - Pilot and full scale demonstration.

#### Summary table: Oxygen production for oxyfuel applications.

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Advanced cryogenic distillation	Yellow	Green	Green
Oxygen separating membranes (flux, selectivity, stability, fabrication)	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Oxygen separating adsorbents (O <sub>2</sub> capacity, stability)	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Membrane and adsorbent material stability at sour conditions	Red	Red	Yellow
Membrane unit manufacturing, development and process integration	Red	Yellow	Green
Adsorbent unit development and process integration	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Membrane unit full scale demonstration plant	Red	Red	Yellow

### 2.3.2 Oxy-combustion

Extensive R&D is ongoing within Period I, to create a validated, firm basis for design of **oxy-fuel boilers** to be used in large scale demonstrations (100s of MW<sub>th</sub>) of oxy-fuel power plants. Validations and R&D is expected to continue also beyond the 1<sup>st</sup> demos. These first generation(s) of oxy-fuel boilers will operate at conditions similar to air fired boilers. Selecting a higher O<sub>2</sub> concentration for PF as well as CFB boilers provides potential for cost savings and efficiency improvements but also requires entirely new boiler designs.

<sup>2</sup> Air at 101325 Pa, 15°C, 60%RH and oxygen at atmospheric pressure; for oxygen at 140 kPa abs, it adds 10 kWh/ton.

Research and demonstration is also ongoing on **oxy-fuel gas turbine combustors**, although to a smaller extent.

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

#### *Oxy-fuel boilers*

- R&D on corrosion, slagging and fouling in solid fuel oxyfuel PF and CFB boilers is ongoing within Period I, and is expected to continue also beyond the 1<sup>st</sup> demos
- Use the inherent potential for boiler size and cost reduction for PF and CFBs by enabling higher O<sub>2</sub> concentrations and thus reduced flue gas recirculation
- Improved knowledge of sulphur chemistry for solid fuels
- Enhanced knowledge of the use of lean fuels (low-volatile coals, anthracite, petcoke)
- Improved CFD modelling is ongoing within Period I, and is expected to continue also beyond the 1<sup>st</sup> demos

#### *Oxy-fuel gas turbine combustors*

- Oxyfuel gas turbine combustors: combustion and heat transfer

### R&D needs

#### *Oxy-fuel boilers*

- Boiler heat exchanger and refractory materials: Issues of slagging, fouling and corrosion related to specific oxyfuel flue gas conditions need further investigation and long-lasting tests are ongoing and expected to continue also beyond 1<sup>st</sup> demos.
- Formation of various (gaseous) sulphur species (capturing in fly ash, SO<sub>3</sub> formation, reduction of recycled SO<sub>2</sub> / SO<sub>3</sub>) and direct desulphurisation without intermediate calcination step to be further investigated.
- Lean fuels (low-volatile coals, anthracite, petcoke) require special furnaces (down-shot, slag-tap) and/or special combustion technologies (indirect firing) for air combustion. Oxygen enrichment may offer the application of conventional direct PF combustion in conventionally shaped furnaces.
- CFD modelling (chemistry, interaction with CO<sub>2</sub>, radiation) is being adapted for oxy-combustion and validated within Period I, and expected to continue also beyond 1<sup>st</sup> demos
- CFB bed material behaviour: heat extraction from solid loop, in-situ sulphur removal is investigated in Period I
- PF and CFB boiler design for size and cost reduction with increased O<sub>2</sub> concentration (i.e. less flue gas recycle). Development and tests in laboratory and in pilot plants of:
  - Combustion characteristics in high O<sub>2</sub> concentration.
  - Design and heat managing schemes for high O<sub>2</sub> concentration boilers.
- Novel pressurized combustion concepts (with dry or wet coal feed) able to produce a concentrated, pressurized CO<sub>2</sub> stream.
- Slagging oxyfuel boilers
- Operation with multiple / "dirty" fuels / biomass in oxyfuel CFB and co-fired in oxy-fuel PF

#### *Oxy-fuel gas turbine combustors*

- Oxyfuel gas turbine combustors:
  - Basic investigation of combustion of gaseous fuel with O<sub>2</sub> in a CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O environment under high pressure.
  - Combustor design to enable complete and stable combustion of the fuel under altered (compared to air) heat transfer conditions.
- Flameless oxyfuel combustion

**Summary table:** Oxyfuel combustion

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
<i>Oxy-fuel boilers</i>			
Boiler refractories and heat exchanger materials	Yellow	Green	Green
Sulphur chemistry	Yellow	Green	Green
Lean fuels	Yellow	Green	Green
CFD Modelling	Yellow	Yellow	Green
CFB bed material behaviour	Yellow	Green	Green
High O <sub>2</sub> conc.: combustion, heat management	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Pressurized oxy-fuel combustion concepts	Yellow	Green	Green
Slagging oxyfuel boilers	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Multiple / dirty fuels / biomass in CFB	Red	Yellow	Green
<i>Oxy-fuel gas turbine combustors</i>			
Oxyfuel gas turbine: combustion basics	Yellow	Green	Green
Oxyfuel gas turbine: combustor design	Red	Green	Green
Flameless oxyfuel combustion	Yellow	Yellow	Green

**2.3.3 Oxyfuel gas turbine**

The natural gas fired oxyfuel gas turbine, operating with a CO<sub>2</sub> / H<sub>2</sub>O mixture as working medium, and with recirculation of the main part of the working medium can be designed from an aerodynamic point of view within current engineering practice. Research and development remains in terms of structural analysis and materials to be employed for construction, taking into account also the altered heat transfer conditions.

**Key challenges and long term R&D targets**

- Turbomachinery development, taking into account the altered heat transfer conditions in the hot parts
- Overall process design and control

**R&D needs**

- Design of compressor and turbine for operation with a CO<sub>2</sub> / H<sub>2</sub>O mixture as working medium
- Improved knowledge of heat transfer in turbines operating with an CO<sub>2</sub> / H<sub>2</sub>O mixture, for design of new cooling schemes
- Design of control system for the semi-closed CO<sub>2</sub> / H<sub>2</sub>O gas turbine with massive recirculation of the working medium.
- Development of steam bottoming cycle to match the gas turbine operating parameters
- Development of oxyfuel gas turbine demonstrator to improve the knowledge of the process

**Summary table:** Oxyfuel gas turbine

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Compressor and turbine development	Yellow	Green	Green
Heat transfer in CO <sub>2</sub> / H <sub>2</sub> O mixtures	Yellow	Green	Green
Process control	Yellow	Green	Green
Steam bottoming cycle design	Yellow	Green	Green
Oxyfuel gas turbine demo plant	Yellow	Yellow	Green

### 2.3.4 Flue gas recycling and O<sub>2</sub> mixing

Systems for mixing of oxygen and recycled flue gases are investigated and tested during Period I. Further improvements can be beneficial for combustion process control.

#### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Technologies and approved construction materials for safe mixing of oxygen and recycled flue gases that may contain dust and unburnt carbon
- Individual mixing points for O<sub>2</sub> and recirculated flue gas (in burner, overfire, pulverizer)
- Corrosion reduction in the flue gas recycling duct

#### R&D needs

- Research and validation of technologies and approved construction materials for safe mixing of recycled flue gases and oxygen is ongoing in Period I and expected to continue.
- Individual mixing of O<sub>2</sub> and recirculated flue gas may offer further possibilities to steer the ignition / pyrolysis / combustion process.
- Investigation of oxygen mixing in the gas turbine process

#### Summary table: Flue gas recycling and O<sub>2</sub> mixing

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Safe mixing of recycled flue gases and oxygen	Yellow	Green	Green
Individual mixing of O <sub>2</sub> and recirculated flue gas	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Oxygen mixing in gas turbine plant	Yellow	Green	Green

### 2.3.5 Flue gas treatment and cooling

R&D to adapt and validate by-product handling for specific oxy-fuel flue gas conditions is ongoing in Period I, and further improvements are expected beyond this period.

#### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Improved handling of by-products in the oxyfuel generated CO<sub>2</sub> stream

#### R&D needs

- Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR), Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) for DeNO<sub>x</sub>: Due to specific oxyfuel flue gas conditions high-dust arrangements of SCR or SNCR-DeNO<sub>x</sub> plants need investigation of deactivation and S-conversion. NH<sub>3</sub> and S related fouling and corrosion downstream the DeNO<sub>x</sub> plant might be an issue as well. These issues are investigated in Period I, and continued R&D on improvements is expected
- Removal of trace components in FGD and FGC
- Technologies for removal of SO<sub>3</sub> and mercury
- Liquid effluents treatment and minimization.

**Summary table:** Flue gas treatment and cooling.

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
SCR, SNCR, DeNOx, improvements beyond Period I	Yellow	Green	Green
Removal of trace components in FGD and FGC	Yellow	Green	Green
Technologies for removal of SO <sub>3</sub> and mercury	Yellow	Green	Green
Liquid effluents treatment	Yellow	Green	Green

**2.3.6 CO<sub>2</sub> purification and compression**

For oxyfuel, the CO<sub>2</sub> stream at entrance of the compression and conditioning train can have high concentrations of components other than CO<sub>2</sub>. R&D to develop and adapt purification technologies for such conditions is ongoing within Period I, and further improvements are expected beyond this period. Improved compressor performance at such conditions and throughout the entire load range would contribute to reduced energy consumption. It is noteworthy in this context that exact demands on the CO<sub>2</sub> purity requirements imposed by transport and storage are currently unknown.

**Key challenges and long term R&D targets**

- Refined handling of by-products in the oxyfuel generated CO<sub>2</sub> stream remaining after the upstream cleaning steps
- Reduced compression energy consumption throughout the entire load range

**R&D needs**

- SOx and NOx removal in CO<sub>2</sub> compression train under pressurised conditions is searched and validated in Period I and continued improvements are expected.
- Technologies for recovery of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> from vent gas are under development and continued improvements are expected.
- Improved CO<sub>2</sub> compressor efficiencies at full load as well as part load and extended load range.
- Investigations of compressor materials to verify if they can withstand the composition of the oxyfuel generated stream
- Removal of trace components in FGD and FGC
- Technologies for removal of SO<sub>3</sub> and mercury
- Liquid effluents treatment

**Summary table:** CO<sub>2</sub> purification and compression.

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
SOx and NOx removal in CO <sub>2</sub> compression train under pressurised conditions, improvements after period I	Yellow	Green	Green
Technologies for recovery of O <sub>2</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> from vent gas, improvements after period I	Yellow	Green	Green
Improved compressor efficiencies throughout load range	Yellow	Green	Green
Compressor design with materials that can withstand oxyfuel generated streams	Yellow	Green	Green
Technologies for removal of SO <sub>3</sub> and mercury	Yellow	Green	Green
Liquid effluents treatment	Yellow	Green	Green

### 2.3.7 Integrated components (including CLC)

In this section the oxygen membrane reactors and the chemical looping combustion (CLC) reactors are treated. In both cases separation of oxygen from air is integrated with fuel oxidation. CLC is under investigation in lab and pilot scales. There is significant cost benefit due to (nearly) complete avoidance of the air separation unit. The fuel does not meet the air directly. The oxygen needed for combustion is supplied by an oxygen carrier material, which meets the fuel in the fuel reactor. This material is in the solid form and is recirculated from fuel to the air reactor. The reduced oxygen carrier is oxidised in the air reactor

#### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Materials development (oxygen carrier material development for CLC, oxygen transport membranes)
- Reactor development with efficient fuel conversion
- Integration of the interconnected oxidizing and reducing reactors to achieve reliable operation

#### R&D needs

- Chemical Looping Combustion:
  - Oxygen carriers (synthetically generated, naturally existing, oxygen capacity and kinetics, mechanical and chemical stability, toxicity...).
  - Development of various oxygen carriers for variable fuels (coal, gas, biomass, multiple fuels)
  - Fuel conversion including avoidance of CO
  - Validation and scale-up of oxygen carrier and ash separation
  - Reactor design, structural optimization, and scale-up
  - Use of advanced materials (e.g. ceramics, composites, etc.) in reactor design
  - Scale-up
  - Integration in power process
  - Reactors dedicated for gas-turbine operation
- Oxygen transport membrane (OTM) reactors: Materials development, reactor development, reactor temperature control
- Integration of new oxygen separation technologies (i.e. membranes and/or adsorbent processes) with oxy-fuel boilers.

#### Summary table: Integrated components

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
CLC Oxygen carrier materials development for gas, coal, biomass or multiple fuels	Red	Yellow	Green
O <sub>2</sub> carrier material stability at high T, sour and wet environment	Red	Yellow	Green
CLC Fuel conversion	Yellow	Yellow	Green
CLC Oxygen carrier / ash separation	Yellow	Yellow	Green
CLC Reactor design, optimization and scale-up	Yellow	Yellow	Green
CLC Reactors dedicated for gas-turbine operation	Red	Yellow	Green
CLC reactor power process integration	Yellow	Green	Green
OTM materials and reactor development	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Integration of new oxygen separation technologies with oxy-fuel boilers	Red	Yellow	Green

### 2.3.8 Overall process development and integration

For pilot, demo / full-scale testing of PF and CFB oxyfuel power plants (10's to 100's of MW), design for larger size plants is based on research findings from smaller scale in combination with findings from the sections listed above. As the knowledge of oxyfuel operation increases with the increasing number of plants, new possibilities for process integration will be easier to identify.

#### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Scale-up and validations of oxyfuel power plants with minimum energy penalty

#### R&D needs

- Validations in pilot plants followed by demos with associated R&D programmes
- New / improved technology blocks will require subsequent validations of integration issues in pilots and demos
- Plant integration and optimization for efficiency and cost, including part-load performance
- Environmental integration of the power plant with respect to e.g. cooling water requirements, liquid effluents and their purifications
- Development and implementation of dynamic models to study transients in the power process as well as in specific components

#### Summary table: Overall process development and integration.

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Pilot and demo validation*	--	--	--
Validation and integration of improved or new technology blocks*	--	--	--
Plant integration and optimisation*	--	--	--
Environmental integration*	--	--	--
Dynamic modelling, simulations and analysis*	--	--	--

\* Maturity level will depend on maturity of technology blocks

## 2.4 Pre-Combustion technologies

### 2.4.1 Oxygen production for pre-combustion applications

Currently for IGCC power plants, cryogenic air separation is the only viable air separation technology due to the large scale. In longer time perspectives, other air separation technologies based on membranes or adsorbents are seen as potential candidates.

#### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Reduced energy consumption for oxygen production. Specific energy consumption of today cryogenic processes is dependent on oxygen pressure and nitrogen integration (use of nitrogen in gas turbine). For oxygen at 4 MPa abs, the range is today 250 – 310 kWh/ton (at ISO conditions<sup>3</sup>) with nitrogen integration. Without integration it is 270 – 330 kWh/ton. A long term R&D target should be to reduce this specific energy by 40 kWh/ton for improved cryogenic processes.
- Further development of adsorbents and membranes for more energy- and cost-efficient oxygen production.

<sup>3</sup> Air at 101325 Pa, 15°C, 60%RH.

### R&D needs

- Advanced cryogenic distillation, integration with other parts of the power plant or other adjacent “cold industries” (e.g. LNG plant)
- High-temperature – up to 300°C - oxygen separating membranes and adsorbents, which may have a potential for efficiency improvement in IGCC (or IRCC) operation, compared to cryogenic ASU
  - Membranes for O<sub>2</sub> production: Membrane development (flux, selectivity, stability at sour conditions), manufacturing and scale-up methods.
  - Membrane unit development for integration in IGCC power plant
  - Adsorbent based O<sub>2</sub> production: Adsorbent development (O<sub>2</sub> capacity, stability at sour conditions), manufacturing methods.
  - Adsorbent unit development for integration in IGCC power plant
  - Pilot and full-scale demonstration

### Summary table: Oxygen production for pre-combustion applications.

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Advanced cryogenic distillation, integration with other parts of the power plant	Yellow	Green	Green
Oxygen separating membranes (flux, selectivity, stability, manufacturing)	Red	Yellow	Green
OTM integration in power plant	Red	Yellow	Yellow
Adsorbent based O <sub>2</sub> production (O <sub>2</sub> capacity, stability, manufacturing)	Red	Yellow	Green
Adsorbent based O <sub>2</sub> production integration in process	Red	Yellow	Green
Demonstration plants	Red	Red	Yellow

### 2.4.2 Gasification / Reforming

Through the gasification of solid fuels or reforming of natural gas, a syngas consisting to a large extent of CO and H<sub>2</sub> is obtained.

For solid fuels - coal, lignite as well as co-gasification with biomass - the R&D priority is concerned with improving the availability and efficiency of the basic processes of synthesis gas production (gasification, gas treatment and conditioning, heat integration). Further objectives of R&D are the development of an optimal overall concept which does justice to the different operational requirements with respect to commercial operation. The optimized adaption of the subsequent gas treatment to the gasification system requires additional detailed R&D activities.

Reforming of natural gas is basically a mature technology. But more compact and improved design with improved materials (catalysts etc.) and material and process integration will be possible with further developments (this is covered in chapter 2.4.6 further down in this document).

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Upscaling to large gasifiers (1200-1500 MWth) for single-train configuration with effective heat recovery / quench system and with low metal corrosion.
- Improved gasifier slag and fly ash removal
- Increasing the efficiency in converting the chemically bound energy of the coal into that of the flue gas (cold gas efficiency)

### R&D needs

- Upscaling to large gasifiers (1200-1500 MWth) for single-train configuration with effective heat recovery / quench system and with low metal corrosion.
- Improved gasifier slag and fly ash removal
- Increasing the efficiency in converting the chemically bound energy of the coal into that of the flue gas (cold gas efficiency)
- Reducing the amount of the required gasification agent (especially oxygen requirements)
- Further development of the raw gas cooling system by combining the advantages of a heat recovery system (efficient energy use)
- Optimizing the carbon conversion, which is incomplete for process related reasons
- Understanding of material-related consequences in gasification processes
- Modelling of reactive multiphase flows for developing reaction compartments and reactor geometries
- Establishing databases as a basis for material and process modelling
- Modelling the dynamic behaviour of gasifiers for optimizing process control of the individual plant components

### Summary table: Gasification / Reforming

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Upscaling to large gasifiers	Yellow	Green	Green
Improved slag and fly ash removal	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Increasing the efficiency in converting the chemically bound energy of the coal into that of the flue gas (cold gas efficiency)	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow
Reducing the amount of the required gasification agent (especially oxygen requirements)	Yellow	Green	Green
Further development of the raw gas cooling system by combining the advantages of a heat recovery system (efficient energy use)	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow
Optimizing the carbon conversion, which is incomplete for process related reasons	Yellow	Green	Green
Understanding of material-related consequences in gasification processes	Yellow	Green	Green
Modelling of reactive multiphase flows for developing reaction compartments and reactor geometries	Yellow	Green	Green
Establishing databases as a basis for material and process modelling	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Modelling the dynamic behaviour of gasifiers for optimizing process control of the individual plant components	Yellow	Yellow	Green

### 2.4.3 CO shift

The water-gas shift (WGS) reactors are central in of many power production schemes. Simplified process schemes can be developed if highly active WGS catalysts working in the presence of significant amount of acid gases such as H<sub>2</sub>S and COS are developed. In addition, process schemes where CO<sub>2</sub> capture is carried out in the WGS reactors, either by the use of sorbents or by the use of membranes, can be efficient alternatives to the conventional schemes. These are further dealt with under “Integrated components”.

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Develop improved WGS catalysts
- Develop highly active sour WGS catalysts.

### R&D needs

- Further development of shift catalysts (durability, cost reduction, admission of high CO concentration)
- Development of highly active and stable sour WGS catalysts
- Improve CO-conversion in order to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> leakage from the overall power plant
- Flexible WGS reactors enabling polygeneration plants (electricity, hydrogen, synthetic fuels) allowing variations in their respective production share.

### Summary table: CO shift

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Further development of shift catalysts	Yellow	Green	Green
Development of sour shift catalyst	Red	Yellow	Green
Improved CO conversion	Yellow	Green	Green
Flexible WGS reactors for polygeneration	Red	Yellow	Green

### 2.4.4 CO<sub>2</sub> capture in pre-combustion applications

In the common scheme, CO<sub>2</sub> is captured at high pressure in a separate step after the low temperature water-gas shift reactor. Physical solvents are the state-of-art technology for this step. Pressure swing adsorption (PSA) processes are an alternative. Other possible process concepts based on solid sorption or membranes integrated into catalytic processes are dealt with under “Integrated components” (2.4.6).

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Energy requirement of alternative physical solvent based separation processes
- Development of pressure swing adsorption (PSA) processes based on solid adsorbents. The challenge is to find good adsorbents with high cyclic capacity in the actual pressure range. Another challenge is to find adsorbents with high selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> in order to avoid accumulation of impurities that necessitate extra thermal regeneration of the adsorbent.
- Capture of CO<sub>2</sub> at higher temperature to avoid cooling down step before combustion step
- Chemical stability and loss of solvent.
- Stability of adsorbent in the presence of contaminants such as H<sub>2</sub>S, etc

### R&D needs

- Development of improved or new solvents for CO<sub>2</sub> capture in pre-combustion applications
- Optimised solvents to separate CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S
- Develop solid adsorbents that will adsorb CO<sub>2</sub> with higher selectivity than H<sub>2</sub>S
- Develop solid adsorbents that can separate both CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S in one step.
- Cryogenic separation of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>
- Hydrate-based CO<sub>2</sub> separation.

**Summary table:** CO<sub>2</sub> capture in pre-combustion applications

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Improved or new solvents	Yellow	Green	Green
Optimised solvents for CO <sub>2</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> S separation	Yellow	Green	Green
High cyclic capacity adsorbents with higher selectivity for CO <sub>2</sub> than H <sub>2</sub> S	Yellow	Yellow	Green
High capacity adsorbents for co-separation of CO <sub>2</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> S	Red	Yellow	Green
Cryogenic separation of CO <sub>2</sub> and H <sub>2</sub>	Yellow	Green	Green
Hydrate-based CO <sub>2</sub> separation	Red	Yellow	Yellow

**2.4.5 H<sub>2</sub> gas turbine**

In pre-combustion capture technologies there is a need for gas turbines that can operate on hydrogen-rich fuel gas with performance and emission levels that can match today’s modern gas turbines for natural gas. Currently, gas turbines for hydrogen-rich fuels employ non-premixed burner technology using diluents such as N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O in order to keep flame temperature and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions down. Reduced turbine inlet temperature in order to compensate higher moisture content and increased heat transfer is also used. These drawbacks could be overcome by some kind of dry low NO<sub>x</sub> (DLN) for hydrogen-fired gas turbines. R&D is already ongoing on DLN combustors and gas turbines for hydrogen combustion, but more efforts are required.

**Key challenges and long term R&D targets**

- Dry Low NO<sub>x</sub> burner technology without the need for large amounts of diluents
- Burner concepts for better fuel flexibility and reliability
- Increased turbine inlet temperature for higher efficiency

**R&D needs**

- Improved or new burner concepts based on a low-emission mode of operation
- Validated numerical design tools including detailed resolution of the fuel / air mixing and combustion
- New GT cooling technologies, high temperature materials and hot path coatings
- Component testing and demonstration under relevant conditions.
- Testing of a large gas turbine in the scope of a demonstration plant

**Summary table:** H<sub>2</sub> gas turbine

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Improved or new burner concepts	Yellow	Green	Green
Validated numerical design tools	Yellow	Green	Green
New cooling technologies and high temperature materials	Yellow	Green	Green
Component testing at relevant conditions	Yellow	Green	Green
Testing of large DLN gas turbine	Yellow	Green	Green

**2.4.6 Integrated components**

Major simplifications of process schemes may be obtained by integration of components and/or material development. Typically by combining a sorbent or membrane into a catalytic process, the equilibrium of the process can be shifted drastically, thus making following separation and/or purification steps redundant.

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Reducing the size of equipment and increasing the conversion by combining reaction and separation in single units
- Making use of more advanced materials (membranes, sorbents, ...) that will be a more integrated part of the process, not only being the shell.
- Material manufacturing methods and costs
- Cyclic capacity, stability and compatibility of sorbent at reaction conditions
- Flux and stability of membrane at reaction conditions
- Materials long-term stability and performance in harsh environment

### R&D needs

- Sorption enhanced reforming / gasification (SER): SER is based on the capture of CO<sub>2</sub> in a gasification (reforming) reactor using sorbent particles to react with CO<sub>2</sub> forming carbonate. Due to the capture of carbon the equilibrium is shifted towards H<sub>2</sub>. As a result gasification (reforming) and the shift reaction are done in one process step, typically at temperatures from 500 to 700°C and elevated pressure. The produced carbonate has to be calcined (regenerated) in a separate step. Most commonly used process design is an interconnected fluid bed reactor system. There are several experimental results with biomass published from laboratory scale prototypes (10-100s of kW) operating in continuous mode. The application to fossil fuels brings up concerns on conversion rate, in-situ sulphur capture, sorbent / catalyst durability, etc.
- Sorption enhanced water gas shift: In this high pressure process a sorbent is used to remove CO<sub>2</sub> from the gas streams during the water-gas shift reaction shifting the equilibrium towards improved H<sub>2</sub> yield and negligible rests of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> in the effluent. The sorbent has to be regenerated in a separate step in a cyclic manner. As a consequence of the high pressure, PSA processes are most commonly envisaged, but TSA processes can also be considered. Major challenges are to find sorbents with high cyclic capacity and stability under the reaction conditions used (typically 200 to 450°C, 20-40 bar, high steam pressure) and to handle any H<sub>2</sub>S that is present.
- Membrane water gas shift reactors: In a similar manner as for sorbent enhanced water-gas shift, a hydrogen or carbon dioxide permeable membrane is used in situ to remove product gases during reaction, thus shifting the equilibrium towards higher conversions. Most challenging is to develop membranes with high flux, selectivity and stability at the relevant reaction conditions – temperatures from 200 to 450°C and elevated pressure.
- Hydrogen membrane reformers: In a similar manner as for sorbent enhanced reforming, a hydrogen permeable membrane is used in situ to remove hydrogen during reaction, thus shifting the equilibrium towards higher natural gas conversions. Most challenging is to develop membranes with high flux and stability at the relevant reaction conditions – temperatures from 500 to 800°C and elevated pressure.
- Oxygen transport membrane reactors: OTM may find applications in large scale processes for oxygen production (air separation unit), for chemical production (syngas produced from autothermal reforming - ATR- or partial oxidation -POX) and for energy conversion (Coal to liquid, coal to gas, oxycombustion and IGCC processes). These processes require a very large quantity of O<sub>2</sub> at high temperature (above 500°C) and pressure. The main challenges are to improve membrane integration by increasing the surface / volume ratio, to increase the membrane lifetime, and to scale up the manufacturing of membranes and modules while reducing costs.

### Summary table: Integrated components

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Sorption enhanced water-gas-shift	Red	Yellow	Green
Sorption enhanced reforming / gasification	Red	Yellow	Green
Membrane water-gas-shift reactors	Red	Red	Yellow
Hydrogen membrane reformers	Red	Red	Yellow
Oxygen transport membrane reactors	Red	Red	Yellow

### 2.4.7 Overall process development and integration

If the classical individual components and the overall IGCC concept are further optimized then electrical efficiencies above 50% without CO<sub>2</sub> separation seem to be possible. Precondition for this improvement is a gasifier adapted to the IGCC concept and furthermore the development of gas cleaning processes that run at elevated temperatures and also, if possible, that operate in a dry mode. Additional potential for process optimization lies in the integration of an air separation unit, CO conversion and CO<sub>2</sub> separation within the IGCC process.

In particular when targeting to integrate novel technologies (refer to section 2.4.6) with the purpose of improving the process performance, new challenges are likely to appear for the overall process development. As the knowledge of IGCC operation increases with the increasing number of plants, new possibilities for process integration will be easier to identify.

IRCC plants (pre-combustion capture based on reforming of natural gas) currently appear to have a high power penalty, but their attractiveness may increase with the development of novel integrated components (refer to section 2.4.6). Hence, there may also be a need for overall process development and integration for this kind of power cycles with CO<sub>2</sub> capture.

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

- Low availability
- Long start-up time
- Poor part load efficiency of IGCC
- Finding the best integration of novel (integrated) components for performance improvement

### R&D needs

- Optimised power plant concepts with reduced auxiliary power consumption
- Overall process integration and optimisation incl. start-up and part-load aspects
- New / improved technology blocks will require subsequent validations of integration issues
- Environmental integration of the power plant with respect to e.g. cooling water requirements, liquid effluents and their purifications
- Development and implementation of dynamic models to study transients in the power process as well as in specific components

**Summary table:** Overall process development and integration.

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Power plant concepts with reduced auxiliary power consumption*	--	--	--
Integration and optimisation including start-up and part-load*	--	--	--
Validation of integration of new / improved technology blocks*	--	--	--
Environmental integration*	--	--	--
Dynamic modelling, simulations and analysis*	--	--	--

\* Maturity level will depend on maturity of technology blocks

## 2.5 Technologies and research areas enabling improved CO<sub>2</sub> capture performance

This section lists R&D topics connected to the technology blocks in table 1 that were not marked with bold letters and also other areas related to the performance improvement of power plants with CO<sub>2</sub> capture beyond year 2020.

### 2.5.1 Advanced steam cycle technology

CCS technologies have a negative impact on the net efficiency of modern pulverised coal fired power plants. Consequently, an intelligent and cost effective use of CCS technologies requires new strategies to increase the net efficiency of these plants. Among them, the most promising are:

- Increase working steam temperature and pressure in new Ultra Super Critical (USC) power plants (up to and maybe beyond 350/370 bar, 700/750°C), and hence increase the severity of fireside operating conditions, as well as potential new internal oxidation damages and higher creep.
- Promote long-term efficiency increase in existing and next generation USC power plants, reducing / eliminating out-of-service accidents and introduce maintenance criteria based on provisional material-component evolution models.

### Key challenges and long term R&D targets

All the above mentioned technologies are scientifically viable but bring severe technological challenges in materials / components reliability, especially in terms of:

- Increased resistance of metallic components to creep and creep fatigue in complex thermo-mechanical conditions (e.g. non steady state working conditions),
- Increased resistance of ceramic components (e.g. refractory) to thermal shock and fatigue,
- Increased resistance of ceramic and metallic materials to oxidation / hot corrosion, erosion-abrasion and wear under increased operating pressure,
- Increasing knowledge of microstructure instability during service, as expected in high alloyed metallic materials and ceramic components operating under highly demanding environmental conditions (e.g. atmosphere composition, temperature, pressure, multi-axial strain-stress),
- Improved component design criteria, taking account of material response in service (e.g. thermal cycling stresses, high thermal expansion in austenitic steels and nickel-base alloys, differential behaviour at welds and joints),
- Improved inspection procedures and maintenance strategies, through a strong integration of field data from advanced sensor / monitoring systems and output from metallurgical physical-chemical and thermo mechanical models, in order to describe component behaviour during service and provide tools to assist plant operating decisions.

### R&D needs

- Innovative solutions in material science (e.g. Fe-base and Ni-base materials, ceramics, coatings)
- Improvement of manufacturing techniques (melting, large forging / casting, rolling / extruding, welding, etc.):
- Improvements in design criteria of materials and components for application in very demanding environments (e.g. high temperature, hard fume composition, variable multi-axial load), through the integration and development of engineering + metallurgy evolution models and new experimental test procedures and configurations (e.g. creep-fatigue tests on pipes, including welds)
- Improvement of material performances databases by advanced experimental tests at medium scale and full-scale test loop(s) / rig(s)
- HT sensing for improved Process Control
- Definition and promotion of new / improved EN, ISO and National Standards for the application of new engineering solutions as well as better non destructive testing methods.

### Summary table : USC technology (700-750°C).

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Innovative materials solutions	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Manufacturing techniques improvements	Yellow	Green	Green
Design criteria for materials and components in demanding environments	Yellow	Green	Green
Materials performance database improvements	Yellow	Yellow	Green
HT sensing for improved process control	Yellow	Yellow	Green
New / improved EN, ISO, National Standards as well as better non destructive testing methods	Yellow	Yellow	Green

### 2.5.2 Other technology development

Ensuring cost effective CO<sub>2</sub> capture processes requires improved knowledge and standards for processes, components and interdisciplinary areas not directly linked to the technology blocks in table 1. R&D needs related to such processes are listed below.

### R&D needs

- Improved fuel handing, in particular fuel feed to pressurized systems, e.g. gasifiers.
- For fuels with high moisture contents, such as lignite, atmospheric and pressurised fluidised bed fuel-drying technologies are developed and expected to be demonstrated in Period I. Further improved and optimised fuel-drying technologies, that could be efficiently integrated into power plants, could contribute to higher efficiencies.
- Improved CO<sub>2</sub> compressors (robust, high efficiency, part load capability)
- H<sub>2</sub>S / sour gas removal at high temperatures. Precombustion methods of sour sources of fuels, results to sour gases. Development of processes for CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S removal from reformed gases at high temperatures are required. Adsorption at high temperatures and membranes are possible candidates.
- Multi component removal processes. Simultaneous removal of H<sub>2</sub>S / CO<sub>2</sub> / -Hg / dioxin / ....
- Improved knowledge of CO<sub>2</sub> properties
- Improved knowledge of heat transfer characteristics for high CO<sub>2</sub> content mixtures
- Design tool improvements: current process design tools are typically based on either chemical engineering or mechanical engineering principles, an interdisciplinary approach is needed.
- Improved heat exchangers.
- Oxyfuel piston engines for power generation

**Summary table:** Technology development

(Colour codes: Green – validated, Yellow – partly validated, Red – not validated)

	-2020	2020-2030	2030-
Improved fuel handing.	Yellow	Green	Green
Improved and optimised fuel-drying technologies.	Yellow	Green	Green
Improved CO <sub>2</sub> compressors.	Yellow	Green	Green
H <sub>2</sub> S / sour gas removal at high temperatures.	Yellow	Green	Green
Multi component removal processes.	Yellow	Yellow	Green
Improved knowledge of CO <sub>2</sub> properties.	Yellow	Green	Green
Improved knowledge of heat transfer characteristics for high CO <sub>2</sub> content mixtures.	Yellow	Green	Green
Design tool improvements.	Yellow	Green	Green
Improved heat exchangers.	Yellow	Green	Green
Oxyfuel piston engines for power generation.	Red	Yellow	Green

**2.5.3 System studies**

In addition to process and component related R&D needs described above there is also a lack of knowledge within overall system related topics

**R&D needs**

- Investigation of total environmental footprint from different types of power generation with CO<sub>2</sub> capture
- Research on operation of fleets of power plants with CO<sub>2</sub> capture (connected to more than one infrastructure, electric power grid, CO<sub>2</sub> network and possibly also H<sub>2</sub> network. Today power plant operation is optimised with respect to daily power demand variations and what prices can be achieved. CO<sub>2</sub> capture has to become a parameter in this so that the capture is optimised and balanced between short-term power demand variations and yearly CO<sub>2</sub> quota. This kind of system analysis could partly be based on research on CO<sub>2</sub> value chains
- Research on how to integrate CCS and fuel cells in energy systems: Fuel cells represent the most energy efficient power production, and the combination of fuel cells and CCS is an option worth investigating for reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. R&D are needed to optimize the integration of fuel cells and CCS
- Studies on large-scale vs small-scale CCS applications: On a long term perspective, small scale CCS could be commercially viable. Small scale power production with small scale CCS could represent a possibility for green power production for all the small villages in developing countries that do not have electricity today. Studies are required to compare technological and economic aspects of small scale and large scale CCS. Key challenges for realization are small-scale transport and storage of CO<sub>2</sub>.
- A research framework that enables tight integration between technology development on different scales and research areas; e.g. solvent-capture process-power process and membrane-membrane reactor-power process. The purpose of such R&D should be to get good design targets for solvents or materials and the best possible integration in a dedicated power process.

### 3 CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage

#### 3.1 Technology structure

The Table 3.1 below gives an overview of the main transport and storage routes and their anticipated level of maturity at different timeframes. Colour coding has the following meaning:

- Red Not validated: Not tested / Less advanced than pilot scale
- Yellow Partly validated: Ready for demo plant
- Green Fully validated: Commercially available.

**Table 3.1. Overview of Transportation and Storage, anticipated level of maturity at different times.**

	- 2020	2020 - 2030	2030 -
<b>Transport infrastructure</b>			
Pipelines transport	Green	Green	Green
Ship transport	White	Green	Green
Transport networks	Red	Yellow	Green
<b>Storage infrastructure</b>			
Depleted oil and gas fields (with or without enhanced hydrocarbon recovery)	Yellow	Green	Green
Deep saline aquifers	Yellow	Yellow	Green
CO <sub>2</sub> -enhanced oil or gas recovery	Green	Green	Green
Coal beds (with or without CO <sub>2</sub> -enhanced coal bed methane extraction)	Red	Yellow	Yellow, Green
Basaltic aquifers	Red	Yellow	Yellow, White
Other types of geological formations	Red	Red	Red, Yellow

In the coming chapters, are described all the research areas needed to help develop these transport and storage options for being ready for wide-scale CCS implementation from 2020. The long term research targets presented in this document comprise improved and new approaches as well as innovative combinations of existing technologies and methodologies for CO<sub>2</sub> storage. The themes presented cover the technologies and methodologies that relate to individual parts of the transport and storage value chain and the integrated system for clarity.

In terms of technologies the areas we expect developments are in: well drilling, injection and remediation; storage reservoir and geosphere monitoring; on-shore and off-shore environmental monitoring.

In terms of methods and methodologies we expect developments in: specific storage site selection and capacity estimation; reservoir management methods for pressure and fluid management; CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring and modelling methods; risk evaluation; uncertainty management; and CO<sub>2</sub> transport - storage integrated system management.

The standardisation of technologies, methods and methodologies used for CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage is considered essential for the widespread deployment of CCS.

## 3.2 CO<sub>2</sub>-related well technologies

Wells are necessary to gain access to the reservoir, both to inject CO<sub>2</sub> and to characterise or monitor subsurface rocks and formation fluid properties. New wells should be designed carefully for long-term zonal isolation and instrumented according to purpose. Existing wells should be managed to avoid leakage.

Existing technologies to design, build, repair and abandon wells in oil and gas reservoirs appear to be broadly sufficient to guarantee safe, long-term CO<sub>2</sub> storage. There is therefore no need for a revolutionary approach for well construction, maintenance and closure in CO<sub>2</sub> environment, but rather for a natural evolutionary progress in the technology to improve well reliability over the life of a CO<sub>2</sub> injection project.

Experience from oil and gas wells – especially those that have been exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> either through production or through injection to improve hydrocarbon recovery – suggests that catastrophic leaks can be excluded except in cases of gross negligence.

However, it remains true that existing wells penetrating the storage reservoir (true in particular for depleted oil and gas field as opposed to deep saline formations) have to be considered as an important factor in the overall leakage risk. This is because it is difficult to estimate the long term reliability of wells given the relatively short time span of oil and gas exploration experience in the field and the knowledge gained from laboratory studies. Current lab experiments are limited in applicability since they generally consist in the immersion of cement samples in CO<sub>2</sub>-rich fluids, which is not representative of field conditions. Indeed, it is widely accepted, based on experience with oil and gas wells, that integrity is overwhelmingly lost through defects (e.g. micro fractures, debonding at interfaces), which, when connected, can create a leakage path.

Consequently, the next step is to adapt laboratory setups to better reproduce real conditions, develop transport-reaction models to explain experimental results carried out over a short period of time, and extend these models into ageing models in order to estimate the long-term durability of well material during CO<sub>2</sub> injection or after abandonment. Such models should be used to develop methodologies to screen, evaluate and manage leakage risk for both old and new wells. Evaluating old wells is particularly important when well density is high (such as in early oil provinces) or when intervention cost is high (such as offshore).

Current technology development efforts also focus on the deployment of corrosion-resistant materials, such as chromium steel alloys and CO<sub>2</sub>-resistant cement systems. Some effort is also dedicated to testing the feasibility of truly inert materials as well as materials and methodologies to repair failed completions.

Completion technologies that enable to bypass damaged zones and improve injectivity also require additional research effort: drilling and stimulation techniques (e.g. multilateral drainholes or hydraulic fracturing), and injection techniques to ensure safe, reliable injection of cold or multiphase CO<sub>2</sub>.

A final set of techniques that require further research is leak monitoring, especially for methods to identify and characterise leaks in cemented wells (acoustic and thermal methods currently used are of uncertain precision and accuracy), and to monitor well integrity in real time (intelligent completions).

### **R&D actions (content / scope)**

1. Advance technology for drilling slim hole wells to explore the geology of potential CO<sub>2</sub> storage formations and surrounding geosphere, to characterise the storage complex, and to monitor the storage complex
2. Develop an understanding of the long-time behaviour of standard completions (cement, steel, elastomer) when exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> lab protocols to screen and characterize completion materials.
3. Develop fit-for-purpose drilling technologies for CO<sub>2</sub> storage. In particular, develop new well materials (including composites) for better long-term resistance to CO<sub>2</sub>, impurities and saline brine attacks
4. Develop technology for better leak detection, characterisation and repair
5. Develop smart well completions with integrated monitoring sensors as a built-in components, which can be maintained over time
6. Develop drilling or fracturing technologies specifically aimed at enhancing CO<sub>2</sub> injectivity in sedimentary reservoirs, low-permeable coal deposits and ultra-basic rocks with high mineralization potential. This may include optimising schemes of up-dip or intersection drilling, such as in fish-bone configurations, fracking in near horizontal drillings, or accessing a coal sequence instead of coal seams for degassing and injection
7. Develop technologies to monitor / re-work pre-existing wells that may be exposed to CO<sub>2</sub>
8. Develop best-practice guidelines on CO<sub>2</sub>-related drilling
9. Develop injection technologies to allow multi-phase injection or low-temperature CO<sub>2</sub> injection without causing mechanical damage to well completion or reservoir and without requiring external heating

### **Expected impact**

Better, cheaper and smart technologies for wells to enable collection of more and higher resolution information on the subsurface and to ensure well integrity, and to make more storage capacities available.

## **3.3 CO<sub>2</sub> storage reservoir capacity assessment**

To facilitate industrial-scale deployment of CCS beyond 2020, there is a need to evaluate storage capacity available for large-scale CO<sub>2</sub> projects in various parts of the world and to demonstrate that very large quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> (1–10 Mt/annum or more per project) can be safely stored. Whilst potential storage capacity in depleted hydrocarbon fields is relatively well understood through decades of oil and gas industry experience, the larger potential capacity in deep saline formations is subject to greater uncertainty due to the limited number of current demonstration projects.

Determination of storage capacity is a complex issue, heavily dependent on the scale of assessment and the level of technical, economic and regulatory factors taken into account. In order to address this complexity, several organisations have developed CO<sub>2</sub> storage classification schemes. An example is the techno-economic resource-reserve pyramid proposed by the Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum (CSLF) in 2007, which was used to frame storage capacity assessment in the recent EU Geocapacity project. In simple terms, the CSLF scheme defines **theoretical capacity** as the maximum total pore volume that could be available for storage, **effective capacity** as a subset of theoretical capacity after technical factors have been applied, **practical capacity** as a subset of effective capacity after further technical and economic factors have been applied, and **matched capacity** as a subset of practical capacity where storage potential is linked to suitable anthropogenic sources of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Assessment of **theoretical** or **effective** storage capacities can be made using analytical methods and is appropriate for 'high-level' regional assessments. Theoretical capacities can be converted to effective capacities by applying a storage coefficient (also termed the efficiency factor). Subsurface modelling studies have established values for this coefficient for differing scales of assessment and various geological settings, however experience gained from future demonstration projects should allow refinement and verification of coefficient values.

Determination of **practical** capacity requires consideration of local operational and environmental constraints such as reservoir injectivity and pressure limits, seismic activity, existing wells, competition with other uses of the underground, protected areas, etc.; while for **matched** capacity, CO<sub>2</sub> transport infrastructure and supply rates need to be considered.

Whilst many capacity assessments to date have focused on the demonstrated storage options of depleted hydrocarbon fields and deep saline aquifers, alternative scenarios such as enhanced coal bed methane (ECBM), ultramafic or basaltic rocks and complex sedimentary sequences are also being researched; capacity assessment methodologies may need to be developed as confidence increases in these alternatives as viable technical options.

#### **R&D actions (content / scope)**

1. Develop and refine storage coefficients for estimation of effective storage resources at regional scales, especially for deep saline aquifers;
2. Develop methodological standards to determine practical and matched capacities at local scales, especially for deep saline aquifers;
3. Expand evaluation methodologies as necessary to cover alternative storage scenarios such as ECBM, complex sedimentary geology, coal sequences, basalts, ultramafic rocks;
4. Develop and refine regional capacity assessments for all EU countries and developing nations where CCS needs to be deployed, including publication of a European storage / CCS atlas.

#### **Expected impact**

Robust methodologies that can be used to quantify available storage options at a variety of scales, especially in regions and geological settings with currently unclear storage potential, that will benefit from experience gained by early demonstration projects. This will be a key requirement for enabling operators and policy makers to plan implementation of CCS.

### **3.4 Modelling the storage reservoir and geosphere**

Modelling of the storage reservoir and geosphere is recognised as being essential in CO<sub>2</sub> storage projects' development:

- for predicting storage capacity, injectivity, CO<sub>2</sub> plume evolution and trapping phases, caprock integrity, potential leakage through wells and faults, and ground stability ;
- for informing the risk assessment process;
- for designing monitoring programmes.

The EC Directive 2009/31/EC on the geological storage of carbon dioxide describes the modelling requirements, including the collection of data, the construction of a 3D static geological earth model, the implementation of dynamic modelling, and the assessment of sensitivity and the uncertainties associated to model parameters and assumptions. Over time, from the early project planning to post-closure, an iterative procedure needs to be established, whereby comparison of monitoring and modelling results enables to improve both models and monitoring plans, until sufficient agreement between observed and predicted storage behaviour is reached.

Research activities during the last decade led to significant progress on modelling issues, which enable now to support a range of storage demonstration projects. Modelling of key processes has been developed: multiphase flow, geochemistry and reactive-transport, geomechanics, and heat transfer. The coupling of several of these processes has been initiated. Different compartments of the storage system have been

modelled including the storage reservoir, caprock, well, fault, and overburden covering different spatial scales and time scales, e.g. the short-term processes occurring around the injection well and the long-term processes occurring in the whole storage complex. Benchmarking of models with lab experiments and field data from pioneering projects has been carried out when possible.

However, in order to support the widespread industrial deployment of CCS, considerable development work is considered essential before modelling approaches can be used to describe storage behaviour with a sufficient level of confidence. Methodologies and tools for coupling key processes will need to be further developed, as well as our understanding of coupling effects and competing timescales of the various processes. Similar efforts should be undertaken for the upscaling of properties and processes from pore to field scale. Linking the various compartments is needed in order to have a comprehensive understanding of the whole system. The methods that may be used to handle the natural heterogeneities of the geological media will have to be resolved. In addition CO<sub>2</sub> streams of different qualities / compositions need to be considered. Benchmarking of models with lab and field data will need to be strengthened. Finally, modelling standards and guidance documents for practitioners and non-specialists stakeholders need to be developed.

#### ***R&D actions (content / scope)***

1. Develop coupled / multi-physics models including flow, geomechanics, geochemistry, and thermal effects to predict the fate of CO<sub>2</sub> and associated components in the subsurface, as well as the storage dynamic behaviour with time.
2. Develop multi-scale modelling methods and upscaling methodologies. Take into consideration the heterogeneities of the geological media. Use forward depositional modelling.
3. Progress batch and core flooding experiments on rock-fluid interactions for reservoirs and seals to provide input parameters and benchmarking cases to modelling. Therefore develop fit-for-purpose experiments with advanced characterisation and measurement techniques to further develop knowledge on CO<sub>2</sub> trapping mechanisms, CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in formation waters, reactional pathways for mineral dissolution and precipitation, transport properties, role of heterogeneities, and effect of additional components (H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>...). Develop also methodologies for integrating experimental results into realistic field-scale schemes.
4. Develop specific dynamic models for sensitive zones such as the near well area and faults. Integrate reservoir / compartment modelling with full system modelling of the surrounding geosphere.
5. Develop fast / systemic models for sensitivity and uncertainty analysis.
6. Develop improved modelling tools to facilitate and automate history matching with field observations. In particular, develop multi-physics multi-resolution inversion techniques for model calibration (history matching) and monitoring plan optimization.
7. Develop agreed standards for modelling and guidance documents for practitioners and regulators.

#### ***Expected impact***

Advanced modelling techniques for higher confidence in predicting storage performance from short to long term periods.

### **3.5 Monitoring the storage reservoir and geosphere**

Large scale projects both on- and off-shore such as Weyburn, Sleipner, In Salah, Snøhvit, have demonstrated that monitoring technologies are actually available to fulfil the requirements of the Directive 2009/31/EC on the geological storage of carbon dioxide, regarding the behaviour of the injection infrastructures and the fate of injected CO<sub>2</sub> in the storage complex. Studies and experiments performed at sites, where CO<sub>2</sub> naturally leaks, have allowed to understand, model and measure the behaviour of CO<sub>2</sub> in the near subsurface and at the surface, both on land and at the sea bottom. The results achieved until now

are a valuable base to upgrade monitoring technologies and methodologies that have to be deep-focussed (for the reservoir and surrounding geological formations) and shallow / surface focussed. Their aim is to (a) image / measure CO<sub>2</sub> in the reservoir; (b) show that the site is currently performing as expected; (c) provide data for modelling the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> storage at large scale (in the reservoir and in the surroundings area, also for evaluating potential effects on other activities, i.e. hydrocarbons exploitation and use of drinking water); (d) continuously control at affordable costs large areas in order to provide early alerts for focussed monitoring or remediation actions; (e) detect CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at the surface only slightly above the background level and at low leakage rate; (f) quantify eventual leakages at land and offshore to verify emission trading contracts and environmental impacts; (g) enable site closure; (h) define monitoring protocols for baselines definitions, injection and post-injection phases.

In this context, offshore monitoring is very challenging for operative difficulties and because the detection and quantification of eventual CO<sub>2</sub> leakages require a complex model approach involving the physical and biological components in the sediments, at the sea bottom and above.

Furthermore, it has to be stressed that the selection of monitoring techniques will always be subject to site-specific circumstances. Due to that, we need to improve and to test them in a large variety of geological contexts, in order to extend their use and to validate the more effective ones.

#### ***R&D actions (content / scope)***

1. Improve the precision and detection level of leakage monitoring technologies in different subsurface layers and at the surface to allow early and effective remediation, in on-shore and off-shore settings
2. Develop non-intrusive, passive and long term monitoring methods
3. Develop high-level agreed standards for on-shore and off-shore monitoring
4. Develop methodologies to establish monitoring requirements during the CO<sub>2</sub> storage project planning, operation, closure and post-closure periods
5. Investigate technologies and methodologies for leakage quantification offshore and onshore

#### ***Expected impact***

Advanced monitoring techniques and methodologies for early, cheap and reliable identification of leakages or significant irregularities. Acceptance of monitoring systems into the Monitoring and Reporting Guidelines for CCS within the EU ETS.

### **3.6 Management of the CO<sub>2</sub> storage complex**

The management of the storage complex during the injection period consists in optimizing, in a cost-effective way, the storage performance in terms of capacity, injectivity and containment while controlling risks to avoid any adverse effects on people or the environment. Injection patterns will have to be carefully designed and optimized at the field level, both in time and space, to accommodate with the characteristics of the delivered CO<sub>2</sub> stream.

Storage capacity may be strongly affected by formation heterogeneities, compartmentalization, or more generally the confined / unconfined characteristics of the targeted formation. In saline aquifers especially, the low water compressibility may lead to a very low available storage volume, with the possible need to produce water out of the reservoir to create more space. Maximizing the volume of CO<sub>2</sub> stored thus imply managing carefully the pressure distribution as well as the movement of fluids in the storage complex.

Injectivity can be affected by near wellbore effects induced by the gas-stream injection, such as precipitation of solids (salts), or dissolution of minerals (in carbonate reservoirs). These effects, which depend on the gas stream composition and the geochemical properties of the reservoir rock and brine, are not well

characterized today, for instance the impact on rock permeability. Careful well design, stimulation techniques or other formation treatments may be necessary to maintain the desired rate of injection. Such procedures have been developed by the Oil & Gas industry but will have to be adapted to the context of CO<sub>2</sub> storage.

CO<sub>2</sub> containment needs to be carefully managed during the injection period:

1. The integrity of the main barriers (cap rocks, faults, and wells) should always be maintained. In particular transient injection operations that could compromise the integrity of the cement sheet should be avoided.
2. The efficiency of trapping mechanisms should be maximized, in particular dissolution and mineralization.
3. The migration of CO<sub>2</sub> plume inside the storage complex should be controlled and reported.

Such objectives will be met through appropriate design, day-to-day control and adjustments of injection operations, using methodologies which are not well identified today. Should an integrity loss or even a leak occur, appropriate mitigation measures will have to be taken to restore the sealing capacity of the barriers and control any undesirable impact, which is discussed in section 3.8 on “Remediation and Mitigation”.

In summary, although CO<sub>2</sub> storage is expected to be further demonstrated at commercial scale by 2020, the following R&D actions will be necessary to allow the widespread deployment of the technology beyond 2020 by improving and standardising storage complex management procedures in order to maintain efficiency and safety.

#### ***R&D actions (content / scope)***

1. Develop smart techniques for managing fluid movement and pressure in the reservoir (injection / extraction of water, use of gel / foams, etc.)
2. Address injectivity issues for large CO<sub>2</sub> quantities and associated impurities, and the effects of rapid transient injection operation, with flow dynamics in the injection well and near well reservoir
3. Develop injectivity guidelines for preventing any physical or chemical adverse effects around the wellbore that may compromise the injection of the desired quantities of CO<sub>2</sub>.
4. Develop knowledge on the thermodynamics and kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> etc. mixtures
5. Develop technologies to favour dissolution and in situ mineralisation (including catalysis)
6. Evaluate the impact of deep biological activity on storage performance
7. Develop methodologies to optimally manage the injection of several different CO<sub>2</sub> streams during the lifetime of a storage site
8. Develop integrated methods for CO<sub>2</sub> storage performance assessment and management
9. Improve procedures and develop standards for the day-to-day management of the storage complex during the injection period in order to maintain efficiency and safety.

#### ***Expected impact***

Optimisation of storage performance in terms of capacity, injectivity and integrity by smart operational management of the storage complex during the injection period.

### **3.7 Assessment of environmental impacts**

Assessing the environment impact of a potential leak is required by EU regulations (CCS and EIA directives), although the level of detail of such assessment is not specified and may go from a purely qualitative evaluation of impacts to a more quantitative analysis. This level of detail required for impact assessment will partly depend on site-specific circumstances, e.g. the sensitivity of potential receptors for any given storage site. There is a need for further research into the potential effects and quantification of CO<sub>2</sub> and co-mobilised substances so that related technologies and methodologies can be developed, validated and deployed on

site, with an estimation of associated costs. Technologies include (1) measurement techniques for site characterization; (2) monitoring techniques for leak detection and evaluation of possible impacts on the ecosystem, and (3) modeling tools for predicting system behavior and impacts on specific targets.

Characteristics and extent of impacts that may result from the potential leakage of CO<sub>2</sub> need to be assessed and they will be dependent on the storage site and potential targets. The broad division will be between marine / sub-seabed and terrestrial sites; each will require their own assessment methodology and technology. For terrestrial sites the local surface geology and soil characteristics will be important determinants for the impact, coupled with the inventory of local flora and fauna on the ground and in the surface waters and groundwater. On the seabed, the seafloor characteristics will be important determinants together with the local marine ecosystem and ambient seawater. Finally, impacts will have to be scaled with respect to severity, and acceptable thresholds defined.

As storage sites will have a significant (10 km scale) horizontal extent, with leaks that may follow horizontal pathways radiating from the site, both large aerial extent and high sensitivity at specific locations will be important components of a robust and effective monitoring system. Remote sensing and autonomous sampling technologies seem to be the most promising and need to be developed further in order to specifically suit the tasks. This is about sensors, signal transmission, data processing and alert systems.

*Industry* considers Environmental Impact Assessment as a key component of the risk management process, but also recognizes the need for building experience and for extrapolating lessons from specific research before standards can be established. In particular, public databases are currently lacking, on both analogues and on experimental sites. Guidelines documenting monitoring techniques performances and protocols are also missing.

*Regulators* request such analysis in their process to qualify a site and grant permits. Procedures and decision tools should be developed to assist them in the process of evaluating the EIA documents submitted

Finally, *public*, and specifically local interest groups will likely request to be involved in the process and be informed about possible risks. An early communication about EIA will be essential to obtain public confidence in the technology.

#### **R&D actions (content / scope)**

1. Investigate into the fate of CO<sub>2</sub> (and mobilised substances) in the subsurface and near surface environment and its influence on groundwater quality and local ecosystems in case of leakage, for both terrestrial and marine settings
2. Develop monitoring techniques for specific issues, in particular remote-sensing techniques for early detection and wide scale evaluation of potential environmental impacts from CO<sub>2</sub> storage, and infrastructure development
3. Formation of databases for natural analogues and experimental sites, including interpretation of data and figures

#### **Expected impact**

More confidence on the near-zero impact on the environment, better acceptance.

### 3.8 Mitigation and remediation

The EC directive on the geological storage of CO<sub>2</sub> establishes the obligation on the operator to take corrective measures in case of significant irregularities or leakages, on the basis of a corrective measures plan submitted to and approved by the competent national authority. The operator also has the obligation to assess the effectiveness of any corrective measures taken.

Such corrective measures mainly stem from the past efforts in the field of:

- Oil and gas industry, in particular natural gas storage activities;
- Wellbore integrity engineering;
- Pollution engineering, as CO<sub>2</sub> leakage plumes show, in particular, similarities to volatile organic compound vapour plumes.

At wellbores, conventional procedures to correct potential irregularities in the structure integrity remain, to some extent, well-established at an engineering level, but still require to be validated considering the specificity of long term storage of CO<sub>2</sub>. In the geological domain, interventions practices based on reservoir and pollution engineering methods to correct significant irregularities, such as CO<sub>2</sub> leakage or abnormal fluid displacement, still require further developments regarding the uniqueness of CO<sub>2</sub> geological storage activities, whether it is in terms of time scale (from decades to centuries), or of large spatial scale impact (up to the geological basin scale), and also of the properties of the injected CO<sub>2</sub> stream (buoyancy effect, geochemical reactivity, presence of potential impurities).

In this context, further research efforts should be undertaken to demonstrate if such corrective and remediation methods can be technically adapted, and above all, cost effectively used, at the injection, post-injection and post-closure phases of the storage project.

Mitigation and remediation rely on a robust monitoring so that any deviation from predicted behaviour can be detected so early that it can be assessed whether this deviation can be stopped by simply adjusting the operational management of the storage complex or requires deploying corrective measures. The sooner these corrective measures can be effectively executed, the better they will prevent any significant escape to the atmosphere or damage to the local environment. Focussed and repeated monitoring at targeted locations will be needed to support decision-making and control the efficiency of the measures taken.

Before CCS is widely deployed, it is important to develop best-practice guidelines informing regulators and site operators on the portfolio of various measures that can be used to remediate significant irregularities and leakages at different time-scales, both during injection and post-injection. Such guidance should include a documentation of the tested methodologies and an accompanying recommendation report.

#### **R&D actions (content / scope)**

1. Adapt and extend the portfolio of remediation measures that can be rapidly applied to a broad spectrum of undesired movements and events
2. Specifically develop remediation techniques (foam / gel, etc.) to maintain or/and restore sealing efficiency for both wells and geological system (faults & fractures)
3. Develop methodologies for early remediation of any adverse effects from CO<sub>2</sub> leakage on ground movement or the environment, including humans, ecosystems, groundwaters and soils.
4. Study techniques that can be used to divert CO<sub>2</sub> migration pathways from undesired zones
5. Develop methods to alleviate excessive reservoir pressure that may compromise the sealing structures.

### **Expected impact**

Provide safe storage also in case of undesired movements CO<sub>2</sub> migration trends and events.

## **3.9 Land planning and transport infrastructure**

To tackle the challenges of CCS technology much of the concern has focused on the capture technology and on the risks of leakage from potential storage locations. Nevertheless new know-how and requirements, validated by demonstration projects, are required in order to define and structure large scale CO<sub>2</sub> transportation networks and integrate CCS schemes into land planning strategies.

The characterisation, assessment and permitting of a storage complex should be precautionary and existing equity interests, mineral and groundwater resources need to be fully protected. The site selection for CO<sub>2</sub> storage and the design of the transport network should therefore consider:

- Proximity to the potential CO<sub>2</sub> source(s) including estimates of the total potential mass of CO<sub>2</sub> economically available for storage;
- Proximity and possible interactions with other activities (e.g. exploration, production and storage of hydrocarbons, geothermal use of aquifers, groundwater production, radioactive / hazardous waste disposal);
- Population distribution and protected habitats in the region overlying the storage complex and in the vicinity of the transport network;
- Consideration of potential trans-boundary transport issues.

Considering the very high number of CO<sub>2</sub> source and sink clusters, the wide implementation of CCS technology across Europe requires significant efforts to develop an infrastructure network (pipelines, interconnection / injection stations). These efforts include defining regulatory models, consolidating design and operating guidelines and establishing standards which currently do not exist for the CO<sub>2</sub> transport network.

The EU project ACCSEPT represents a step towards the responsible implementation of CCS in the EU region and the identification of existing gaps in socio-economic terms. On the other hand, a wide scale utilisation of CO<sub>2</sub> sinks will require a different approach to land use management. It will be necessary to develop appropriate methodologies, standards and international regulations that can be used to control the exploitation of the subsurface and to avoid disputes or conflicts.

Technically, CO<sub>2</sub> can be transported through pipelines or pressurised vessels in the form of a gas, a dense fluid or in the sub-cooled liquid state. Pipeline transport is expected to be the more promising means of transport where long distances must be covered and for significant quantities of CO<sub>2</sub>. Despite the fact that CO<sub>2</sub> transportation has not been extensively applied in Europe to date, it is technically feasible, if related design, construction, operational guidelines and standards become available in the near future. It is worth noting that a 153 km, cross section 0.2 m subsea pipeline was put in service for dense phase CO<sub>2</sub> in spring 2008 at the Snohvit field, offshore Northern Norway.

Around the world, more than 3000 km of pipelines (mainly in USA) transport approximately 50 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per day for Enhanced Oil Recovery (CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR). These pipelines transport pure CO<sub>2</sub> as a supercritical fluid or liquid (i.e. CO<sub>2</sub> is kept in the dense phase at temperatures higher than -60°C and pressures above 73.8 bar) to meet operational and cost requirements. Whilst the CO<sub>2</sub> transportation networks in America tend to transport near pure CO<sub>2</sub>, in the wide scale implementation plan for CCS in Europe, the CO<sub>2</sub> stream captured from power stations and other industrial activities will contain minor amounts of other substances. Further research is needed to verify that the dehydration of the CO<sub>2</sub> stream can prevent corrosion whatever the level of other substances is, and that these substances in the CO<sub>2</sub> stream do not have additional

metallurgical and safety implications for the design of pipelines for CCS in Europe. Furthermore, CO<sub>2</sub> transportation in Europe will generally be conducted across populated regions, which by its very nature will have necessary design implications.

As the experience in high pressure pipeline transportation of dense near pure CO<sub>2</sub> (containing minor amounts of other substances) is very limited, current pipeline standards do not cover the transport of CO<sub>2</sub> from a power production and CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant to a suitable storage site.

#### **R&D actions (content / scope)**

1. Consolidate site selection methodologies for the surface and network infrastructures.
2. Develop materials and safety standards for CO<sub>2</sub> transport considering impurities, taking into account overall CCS-chain limitations and impacts from mixing different gas qualities.
3. Develop schemes with several sources – several sinks and logistics on how to handle and transport CO<sub>2</sub>.
4. Develop methodologies and technologies to integrate plant-capture-transport-storage into an optimised integrated system considering operational, environmental and economic considerations over time.
5. Investigate the possibilities for combined uses or conflicts with regard to other human activities on the earth's surface onshore and off shore (e.g. gas storage, hydrocarbon production, geothermal energy, groundwater production, radioactive / hazardous waste disposal, etc).
6. Address international issues, including cross-border transport and storage schemes that integrate countries with limited storage options.

#### **Expected impact**

Regional / National / EU coordination across the whole CCS chain for appropriate land planning and infrastructure development.

## **4 Other technologies for CO<sub>2</sub> emission avoidance**

### **4.1 Ex-situ mineral carbonation**

CO<sub>2</sub> can be reacted with magnesium and calcium silicate deposits, or with solid or liquid wastes, forming the corresponding carbonates and a solid by-product, e.g. silica. The carbonation reaction is exothermic and can theoretically yield energy that can be integrated with the capture process. Ex situ mineral carbonation could thus constitute a niche solution that would help cut down on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from certain industrial sectors. However, the kinetics of natural mineral carbonation is slow; hence all currently implemented processes require energy intensive preparation of the solid reactants to achieve affordable conversion rates and/or additives that must be regenerated and recycled using external energy sources. Altogether, the technology is still in an early development stage and requires more R&D effort. Main R&D activities should be related to improving the kinetics of mineralization. Mineral carbonation could be suitable for small-scale CO<sub>2</sub> sources as a complement to geological storage.

### **4.2 Chemical utilization of CO<sub>2</sub>**

The conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> into value-added chemicals is a highly relevant research topic for two reasons. One, use of CO<sub>2</sub> as the primary C<sub>1</sub> reagent in chemical synthesis strongly contributes to the development of sustainable chemistry, through the *replacement of other toxic and non-renewable C<sub>1</sub> sources* such as phosgene and CO. Second, conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> into chemicals is a short- to medium-term complement to

geological storage of CO<sub>2</sub>, and this can also be (at least a partial) solution for remote or integrated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions sources. Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> is also the only *general* route for reducing the costs associated with the CCS schemes for large CO<sub>2</sub> point sources.

Note that CO<sub>2</sub> conversion into chemicals is not a viable, large-scale CCS alternative, because the amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> are too large for the chemical market to handle, even if CO<sub>2</sub> is reduced to fuels. Large scale CO<sub>2</sub> reduction is also problematic with regard to the overall CO<sub>2</sub> budget if a non-renewable source of H<sub>2</sub> is used (e.g. reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol).

### 4.3 Biofixation of CO<sub>2</sub>

CO<sub>2</sub> may be fixed biologically in living organisms and algae have a particularly high potential for this application. Biofixation of CO<sub>2</sub> by algae thereby represents a future option for reducing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels, although it should be noted that biofixation of CO<sub>2</sub> in algae is not a permanent storage (i.e. removal from the atmosphere) of CO<sub>2</sub>. It is a reduction method only if the algae substitutes fossil fuels. A main R&D gap is to establish optimum algae growth conditions (temperature, water content, nutrients) for maximizing the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the algae. Characterization of species (there exists hundreds of thousands of varieties) for macro- and microalgae is also required. Furthermore, improved design of photobioreactors where the CO<sub>2</sub> is captured by the algae is also required. Technology for large scale production and harvesting of macro algae are validated today and future R&D will focus on efficiency improvements to reduce cost. Micro algae face special R&D needs like development of efficient methods for harvesting and dewatering and improvement of technologies for separation of oil. Algae also face the challenges of large area requirement, slow kinetics and growth depending on weather conditions. Because of the latter they are best suited for fossil power plants located in southern Europe.

Area requirement can be solved by the fact that algae can be grown in closed systems on low value land, like deserts, but closed systems are also suitable for not so warm countries (intensive research is happening in countries like Germany and others) An important challenge is the up-scaling of proved culture techniques and species. Another challenge is to spread the focus from fuel to cover also other valuable compounds (protein, pigments, PUFA's) through a biorefinery approach, to obtain an economic process.

With dedicated research, algae production for biofixation of CO<sub>2</sub> could be a commercial technology during period II (between 2020 and 2030).

For what concerns the use of non-photosynthetic microorganisms to fix the CO<sub>2</sub> emitted by fossil power plants, the research is still at a very premature level so it is to be considered a long term technology for period III (beyond 2030).

### 4.4 Combining CCS with biomass

CCS for power plants (boiler or gasification based) fuelled by biomass is a possible future technology with potential for becoming carbon negative. Recent studies indicate that such technology will be a must in order to achieve the targets for maximum temperature increase. If the development of optimised and cost effective biomass production is achieved, some variants of this technology could be ready at the latest during period II.

## 5 Overall summary and recommendations

**R&D in identified areas must be initiated and/or continued now** and in the years to come in order to reach estimated maturity at the defined time-scales; considering the time steps of development (laboratory – pilot plants – semi industrial – industrial – pre-commercial) continuity from the EU in supporting developing technologies is essential. In this context it is important to recognize the need for medium sized pilot plants – which are risky and costly – and which are a limiting factor of new developments. For technologies still at an early stage, correct technology potential assessment may be difficult, because “showstoppers” and sudden technology breakthroughs cannot be foreseen, but are the outcome of dedicated R&D.

Chapter 2 of his document is a compilation of identified long-term perspective R&D needs for the CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies known today. Novel technologies or novel use of known technologies for CO<sub>2</sub> capture are however not unlikely to be presented in the years to come. It is therefore vital that future research programmes are formulated in such a way as to ascertain that these novel technologies can be included in the overall R&D programmes on CO<sub>2</sub> capture and thus be given a fair evaluation. Novel technologies must not have for only advantage that they are advanced and innovative, but must have a potential for improvements compared to first generation CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies primarily in terms of cost and efficiency.

Storage is an essential component of the CCS chain and provides critical considerations in the CCS decision-making process and planning. The experience and knowledge generated through the existing and planned demonstration projects until 2020 will serve to inform decisions and reflect upon the technologies and methodologies proposed.

The standardisation of technologies and procedures / methodologies developed for CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage is considered essential for the widespread deployment of CCS.